

ANTI POVERTY STRATEGY CONSULTATION

BREAKDOWN OF MAIN POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE RESPONSES TO PROPOSALS ON PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION (PSI) AND A NEW PSI GROUP TO DEVELOP A STRATEGY TO TACKLE POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION EXPERIENCED BY LONE PARENTS

POSITIVE

Summary of <u>main emerging views</u> received on: PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION (PSI) AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A NEW PSI GROUP TO DEVELOP A STRATEGY TO TACKLE POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION EXPERIENCED BY LONE PARENTS	
Summary of comments	Level of Support:
Agree PSI partnership approach should be retained, with some suggestions that PSI initiatives should be sub-groups of the proposed new Ministerially-led Anti Poverty Forum, and / or integrated more into the overall Strategy.	14
Agree that Lone Parents should be a Promoting Social Inclusion priority focus.	20
Some comments that other groups should receive equal focus as lone parents, for example: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Homeless people• Travellers• Older people• People with Disabilities• Carers• Migrant workers, asylum seekers and minority ethnic groups• People who have a criminal record	9

NEGATIVE

Summary of main emerging views received on: PROMOTING SOCIAL INCLUSION (PSI) AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A NEW PSI GROUP TO DEVELOP A STRATEGY TO TACKLE POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION EXPERIENCED BY LONE PARENTS

Summary of comments.	Level of Support: [
<p>Only one comment disagreeing with the Promoting Social Inclusion approach, indicating that the problems of bringing up children without a partner in this way will not deal with disproportionate number of lone parents – too narrow to deal with effects; and does not address cause.</p>	<p>1</p>