

ANTI POVERTY STRATEGY CONSULTATION

BREAKDOWN OF MAIN POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE RESPONSES TO PROPOSALS ON OVERALL STRATEGIC AIM

POSITIVE RESPONSES

Main emerging views received on: OVERALL STRATEGIC AIM - To improve income and living conditions of most disadvantaged	
Summary of comments:	Approximate Level of Support
Support proposal	16
<p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support, but say too narrow - should include other aspects of social exclusion – should address how to tackle inequalities in society, ranging from radical changes needed to tackle structural poverty and inequalities to need to address causes of social exclusion other than financial, eg access to services etc. 	59
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calls for a clear definition of poverty, with some suggestions, including consistency with that of EU objectives, for example:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – a combination of EU Objectives and the tiered approach taken by GB, with a NI dimension which takes account of quality of life – that outlined by Dignan T “Low Income Households in NI: 1990-2002 (2003). 	20
New Name: ‘The NI Anti Poverty Strategy’	
Summary of comments:	Level of Support:
Support new name proposal	10

Suggest new name to reflect social exclusion/tackling inequalities.	9

POSSIBLE NEGATIVE POINTS:

Main emerging views received on: OVERALL STRATEGIC AIM - To improve income and living conditions of most disadvantaged	
Summary of comments: - No clear Definition	Approximate Level of Support:
Only 1 organisation has rejected the proposals, indicating should continue with New TSN policy approach	1